Relating fuzzy autoepistemic logic and Lukasiewicz KD45 modal logic

Marjon Blondeel¹, Tommaso Flaminio², and Lluis Godo²

 ¹ Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Computer Science Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, BELGIUM Marjon.Blondeel@vub.ac.be
² IIIA - CSIC
Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra, SPAIN {tommaso,godo}@iiia.csic.es

In this paper, we propose an axiomatization for a Kripke-style possible world semantics related to the fuzzy autoepistemic logic defined in [1], and we also provide an equivalent algebraic semantics.

Autoepistemic logic is a formalism of nonmonotonic reasoning that was originally intended to model an ideally rational agent reflecting upon his own beliefs [7]. These beliefs are sets of sentences in a propositional language augmented by a modal operator \Box . If φ is a formula, then $\Box \varphi$, which has to be interpreted as " φ is believed", is a formula as well. Hence, in this language nested modal operators are allowed; it is possible to have beliefs about beliefs. Let A be any set of formulas in this language. In [7], a *stable expansion* of A is defined as a set of formulas T such that the following fix-point condition holds:

$$T = \{ \varphi \mid A \cup \{ \Box \psi \mid \psi \in T \} \cup \{ \neg \Box \psi \mid \psi \notin T \} \vdash \varphi \}, \tag{1}$$

where \vdash denotes the notion of proof for classical propositional logic and each formula $\Box \varphi$ is considered as a new variable. Informally, a stable expansion of A is the closed set of beliefs of an ideal rational agent based on the premises A.

In [1] a fuzzy generalization (from a semantical point of view) of autoepistemic logic is defined and it was shown that the important relation between autoepistemic logic and answer set programming established in [6] is preserved: answer sets of a fuzzy answer set program can be equivalently determined by fuzzy stable expansions of a corresponding set of fuzzy autoepistemic formulas. Given a particular fuzzy propositional calculus L with truth constants, let \mathcal{L} denote its language and let \mathcal{L}_{\Box} denote its expansion with \Box . Then a *fuzzy stable expansion* of a set of formulas A of the latter is now a fuzzy set of formulas $T: \mathcal{L}_{\Box} \to [0, 1]$ satisfying the following fix-point condition:

$$T(\varphi) = \inf \left\{ v(\varphi) \mid v \in \Omega, v \text{ is a model}^3 \text{ of } A \cup \left\{ \Box \psi \leftrightarrow \overline{T(\psi)} \mid \psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\Box} \right\} \right\}, (2)$$

where Ω is the set of L-evaluations over \mathcal{L}_{\Box} (treating each formula $\Box \varphi$ as a new variable), and $\overline{T(\psi)}$ denotes the truth-constant of value $T(\psi)$. Notice that (2) generalizes the semantical version of (1).

 $[\]overline{}^{3} v$ is a model of a set of formulas B if $v(\psi) = 1$ for all $\psi \in B$.

36 Marjon Blondeel, Tommaso Flaminio, and Lluis Godo

Generalizing [8], a class of fuzzy Kripke-style models is introduced in [1] in order to evaluate formulas of \mathcal{L}_{\Box} . For each $v \in \Omega$ and $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \Omega$,⁴ the truthvalue of φ in the structure (v, S), denoted $\|\varphi\|_{v,S}$, is defined as follows:

- If φ is a formula of \mathcal{L} , then $\|\varphi\|_{v,S} = v(\varphi)$.
- If $\varphi = \Box \psi$, then $\|\varphi\|_{v,S} = \inf_{w \in S} \|\psi\|_{w,S}$.
- The truth-value of compound formulas is defined using truth-functions of L.

We will denote the class of all structures (v, S) by \mathcal{M}^{ae} .

A fuzzy autoepistemic model of a set of formulas A of \mathcal{L}_{\Box} is a set $E \subseteq \Omega$, such that

$$E = \{ v \in \Omega \mid \|\varphi\|_{v,E} = 1 \text{ for each } \varphi \in A \}.$$

In [1] it is shown that for each fuzzy stable expansion T of a set of formulas A there exists a fuzzy autoepistemic model E of A, such that, for each φ , $T(\varphi) = \inf_{v \in E} \|\varphi\|_{v,E}$.

In this contribution, we provide an axiomatization for the above introduced class of models \mathcal{M}^{ae} for fuzzy autoepistemic logic in the particular case where the propositional calculus L is the (k + 1)-valued Łukasiewicz logic with truth-constants L_k^c . In what follows we will denote by Ω_k the set of propositional L_k^c -evaluations and by \mathcal{M}_k^{ae} the class of models resulting from \mathcal{M}^{ae} by taking L = L_k^c .

We start from the logic $\Lambda(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{c}})$ defined in [2], that is the minimal modal logic over $\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$ axiomatizing the set of valid formulas over the class of Kripke frames with crisp accessibility relations. The language of $\Lambda(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{c}})$ is obtained by enlarging the one of $\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$ by a unary modality \Box , and defining well formed formulas in the usual inductive manner: (1) every formula of $\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a formula; (2) if φ and ψ are formulas, then $\Box \varphi, \varphi \odot \psi$, and $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, are formulas as well. Truth is defined relative to Kripke structures M = (W, e, R) where W is a non-empty set of possible worlds, $R: W \times W \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is a crisp accessibility relation and $e: \mathcal{L} \times W \rightarrow S_{k}$ is such that for every $w \in W$, $e(\cdot, w) \in \Omega_{k}$. Given a formula φ , the truth value of φ in a world $w \in W$ is defined as follows:

- If φ is a formula of $\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$, then $\|\varphi\|_{M,w} = e(\varphi, w)$.
- $\text{ If } \varphi = \Box \psi, \text{ then } \|\varphi\|_{M,w} = \inf\{\|\psi\|_{M,v} \mid v \in W, R(w,v) = 1\}.$
- The truth values of compound formulas is defined according to $\mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}}$ truth functions.

The notion of logical consequence is defined as usual: given a set of formulas $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}, \ \Gamma \models_{\Box} \varphi$, iff for every Kripke model M = (W, e, R) and for every $w \in W$ we have that if $\|\psi\|_{M,w} = 1$ for every $\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\|\varphi\|_{M,w} = 1$ holds as well.

The following are the axioms for the logic $\Lambda(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{c}})$ (see [2]):

- All the axioms from L_k^c .

⁴ Actually, in the original proposal [8] and in its fuzzy generalization of [1] the set is not required to be non-empty.

 $- (K) \Box(\varphi \to \psi) \to (\Box \varphi \to \Box \psi)$ $- (\Box 2) (\Box \varphi \land \Box \psi) \to \Box(\varphi \land \psi)$ $- (\Box 3) \Box(\overline{r} \to \varphi) \leftrightarrow (\overline{r} \to \Box \varphi), \text{ for each } r \in S_k$ $- (\Box 4) \Box(\overline{r} \lor \varphi) \leftrightarrow (\overline{r} \lor \Box \varphi)$ $- (\Box 5) (\Box \varphi \oplus \Box \varphi) \leftrightarrow \Box(\varphi \oplus \varphi)$

and its inference rules are module points (from φ and $\varphi \to \psi$, infer ψ) and necessitation for \Box (from φ infer $\Box \varphi$).

One can easily show that in this proof system, the monotonicity rule for \Box (from $\varphi \to \psi$ infer $\Box \varphi \to \Box \psi$) and the rule of substitution of equivalent formulas are derivable rules.

Now, we consider the logic $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}})$ obtained from $\Lambda(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}})$ by adding the axioms:

 $\begin{array}{l} - (D) \Diamond \overline{1} \\ - (4) \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \Box \varphi \\ - (5) \Diamond \Box \varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi \end{array}$

where $\Diamond \varphi = \neg \Box \neg \varphi$. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, L_k^c)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class $\mathcal{KD}45$ of Kripke models (W, e, R) where $R: W \times W \to \{0, 1\}$ is serial, transitive and euclidean.

To prove this theorem, in particular for the completeness part, we make a connection with L_k^c , since it is strong complete. This connection is as follows. As was done for (fuzzy) autoepistemic logic, each formula φ in $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^c)$ can be seen as a formula φ^* in \mathbf{L}_k^c by treating every subformula $\Box \alpha$ as a new propositional variable. Explicitly, for a variable p, truth-constant \overline{r} , formulas φ and ψ and $\cdot \in \{\odot, \rightarrow\}$, we define:

$$\begin{array}{l} -p^* = p, \, \overline{r}^* = \overline{r}, \\ -(\varphi \cdot \psi)^* = \varphi^* \cdot \psi^*, \\ -(\Box \varphi)^* = p_{\varphi} \text{ with } p_{\varphi} \text{ a new variable.} \end{array}$$

We can now prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let $T \cup \{\alpha\}$ be a set of formulas in $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, E_k^c)$. Let $T^* = \{\varphi^* \mid \varphi \in T\}$ and $\Lambda = \{\varphi^* \mid \varphi \text{ axiom in } KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, E_k^c)\} \cup \{(\Box \varphi)^* \mid \vdash_{\Box} \varphi\}$. Then it holds that

 $T \vdash_{\Box} \alpha \quad i\!f\!f \ T^* \cup A \vdash \alpha^*$

where \vdash_{\Box} denotes the notion of proof in KD45(**CFr**, L_k^c) and \vdash the notion of proof in L_k^c .

Following [2], the proof of Theorem 1 makes use of a canonical model construction and the following truth-lemma: for each formula φ of $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}})$ and every $v \in W_{can}$ we have $e_{can}(\varphi, v) = \|\varphi\|_{M_{can}, v}$, where $M_{can} = (W_{can}, R_{can}, e_{can})$ is the canonical model of $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{k}^{\mathbf{c}})$ defined as follows:

- $W_{can} = \{ v \mid v \text{ is a } \mathbf{L}_{k}^{c} \text{-evaluation that is a model of } A \},\$
- $R_{can}(v_1, v_2) = 1$ if, for every formula φ , $\|(\Box \varphi)^*\|_{v_1} = 1$ implies $\|\varphi^*\|_{v_2} = 1$, and $R_{can}(v_1, v_2) = 0$ otherwise,
- $-e_{can}(\varphi, v) = v(\varphi)$, for each (non-modal) $\mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}}$ -formula φ .

Using classical techniques (see e.g. [9]), one can prove that $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^c)$ is also complete with respect to the class of *semi-universal* models of the form (W, e, R) where $R = W \times E$ with $\emptyset \neq E \subseteq W$. From this, next corollary easily follows.

Corollary 1. $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, L_k^c)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of structures \mathcal{M}_k^{ae} .

Notice that if one allows \mathcal{M}_k^{ae} to contain structures (v, S) with $S = \emptyset$, then one is led to drop the axiom (D) from the logic in order to keep the above completeness result, i.e. in that case the logic to be considered would be $K45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}})$ rather than $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_k^{\mathbf{c}})$.

Inspired by [5], we can also introduce an algebraic semantics for $KD45(\mathbf{CFr}, \mathbf{L}_{k}^{c})$ by considering MV-algebras endowed with an *internal necessity operator*. We will henceforth consider finite MV-algebras A as algebras of functions $(S_{k})^{X}$ where X is a finite set, and where S_{k} denotes the finite MV-chain over $\{0, 1/k, \ldots, (k-1)/k, 1\}$. In this frame, for every $r \in S_{k}, \overline{r}$ will always denote the constant function $\overline{r}: x \in X \mapsto r \in S_{k}$.

A (finite) MV-algebra with internal necessity operator (NMV-algebra, for short), is then a pair (A, N) where A is a finite MV-algebra of the kind $(S_k)^X$, and $N: A \to A$ satisfies the following equations:

Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime MV-filter A, and let $\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the canonical homomorphism of A in A/\mathfrak{p} . Notice that in this case A/\mathfrak{p} is linear and isomorphic to S_k . Then the map $\hat{N}: A \to S_k$ such that, for every $f \in A$,

$$\hat{N}(f) = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}(N(f))$$

is a (external) necessity measure in the sense of [4]. Moreover one can show that there exists a finite (crisp) subset E of homomorphisms of A into S_k such that, for every a in A, $\hat{N}(a) = \inf_{h \in E} h(a)$.

Conversely, given any finite MV-algebra A, and an (external) necessity measure $\hat{N}: A \to S_k$, the map

$$N: f \in A \mapsto \hat{N}(f) \in A$$

makes (A, N) a NMV-algebra.

From this construction, the following further algebraic completeness theorem can be proved.

Theorem 2. The class Taut(NMV) of tautologics for the class of finite NMValgebras, equals the class $Taut(\mathcal{KD}45)$ of tautologies for the models in $\mathcal{KD}45$.

39

Acknowledgments

Blondeel has been funded by a joint Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO) project. Flaminio and Godo acknowledge partial support of the Spanish projects ARINF (TIN2009-14704-C03-03) and TASSAT (TIN2010- 20967-C04-01). Flaminio also acknowledges partial support from the Juan de la Cierva Program of the Spanish MICINN.

References

- 1. BLONDEEL, M., SCHOCKAERT, S., DE COCK, M., AND VERMEIR, D. Fuzzy autoepistemic logic: Reflecting about knowledge of truth degrees. In Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (2011), pp. 616–627.
- 2. BOU, F., ESTEVA, F., GODO, L., AND RODRÍGUEZ, R. On the minimum manyvalued modal logic over a finite residuated lattice. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 21, 5 (2011), 739–790.
- 3. CIGNOLI, R., D'OTTAVIANO, I. M., AND MUNDICI, D. Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning, vol. 7 of Trends in Logic. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- 4: FLAMINIO, T., GODO, L., AND MARCHIONI, E. On the logical formalization of possibilistic counterparts of states over n-valued Lukasiewicz events. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 21, 3 (2011), 429–446.
- 5. FLAMINIO, T., AND MONTAGNA, F. MV-algebras with internal states and probabilistic fuzzy logics. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 50, 1 (2009), 138–152.
- 6. GELFOND, M., AND LIFSCHITZ, V. The stable model semantics for logic programming. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference and Symposium on Logic Programming* (1988), pp. 1070–1080.
- 7. MOORE, R. Semantical considerations on nonmonotonic logic. In Proceedings of the Eight International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (1983), pp. 272–279.
- 8. MOORE, R. Possible-world semantics in autoepistemic logic. In Proceedings of the Non-Monotonic Reasoning Workshop (1984), pp. 344–354.
- 9. PIETRUSZCZAK, A. Simplified Kripke style semantics for modal logics K45, KB4 and KD45. Bulletin of the Section of Logic 38, 3/4 (2009), 163–171.