RP-DeLP: A weighted defeasible argumentation framework based on a recursive semantics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Título</th>
<th>RP-DeLP: A weighted defeasible argumentation framework based on a recursive semantics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publicación</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipo</td>
<td>In Press</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoría</td>
<td>Alsinet T [1], Bejar R [2], Godo L [3], Guitart F [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revista</td>
<td>Journal of Logic and Computation, accepted (doi: 10.1093/logcom/exu008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claves</td>
<td>Argumentation [5], Collective Conflict [6], Defeasible Reasoning [7], Efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation [8], Rationality Postulates [9], recursive semantics [10], SAT Enconding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this paper we propose a recursive semantics for warranted formulas in a general
defeasible logic argumentation framework by formalizing a notion of collective (non-
binary) conflict among arguments. The recursive semantics for warranted formulas is
based on the intuitive grounds that if an argument is rejected, then further arguments
built on top of it should also be rejected. The main characteristic of our recursive
semantics is that an output (or extension) of a knowledge base is a pair consisting of
a set of warranted and a set of blocked formulas. Arguments for both warranted and
blocked formulas are recursively based on warranted formulas but, while warranted
formulas do not generate any collective conflict, blocked conclusions do. Formulas
that are neither warranted nor blocked correspond to rejected formulas. Then we
extend the framework by attaching levels of preference to defeasible knowledge
items and by providing a level-wise definition of warranted and blocked formulas.
After we consider the warrant recursive semantics for the particular framework of
Possibilistic Defeasible Logic Programming (RP-DeLP for short). Since RP-DeLP
programs may have multiple outputs, we define the maximal ideal output of an RP-
DeLP program as the set of conclusions which are ultimately warranted, and we
present an algorithm for computing it in polynomial space and with an upper bound
on complexity equal to P^NP . Finally, we propose an efficient and scalable
implementation of this algorithm using SAT encodings, and we provide an
experimental evaluation when solving test sets of instances with single and multiple
preference levels for defeasible knowledge.
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