

UPGRADE is the European Journal for the Informatics Professional, published bimonthly at <<http://www.upgrade-cepis.org/>>

UPGRADE is the anchor point for UPENET (UPGRADE Europe-an NETwork), the network of CEPIs member societies' publica-tions, that currently includes the following ones:

- Mondo Digitale, digital journal from the Italian CEPIs society AICA
- Novática, journal from the Spanish CEPIs society ATI
- Pliroforiki, journal from the Cyprus CEPIs society CCS
- Pro Dialog, journal from the Polish CEPIs society PTI-PIPS

#### Publisher

UPGRADE is published on behalf of CEPIs (Council of European Professional Informatics Societies,

<<http://www.cepis.org/>>) by Novática

<<http://www.ati.es/novatica/>>, journal of the Spanish CEPIs society ATI (Asociación de Técnicos de Informática <<http://www.ati.es/>>).

UPGRADE is also published in Spanish (full issue printed, some articles online) by Novática, and in Italian (abstracts and some articles online) by the Italian CEPIs society ALSI

<<http://www.alsi.it/>> and the Italian IT portal Tecnoteca <<http://www.tecnoteca.it/>>.

UPGRADE was created in October 2000 by CEPIs and was first published by Novática and INFORMATIK/INFORMATIQUE, bi-monthly journal of SVI/FSI (Swiss Federation of Professional In-formatics Societies, <<http://www.svifsi.ch/>>).

#### Editorial Team

Chief Editor: Rafael Fernández Calvo, Spain, <[rfoalvo@ati.es](mailto:rfoalvo@ati.es)>

Associate Editors:

- François Louis Nicolet, Switzerland, <[nicolet@acm.org](mailto:nicolet@acm.org)>
- Roberto Carniel, Italy, <[carniel@dgt.uniud.it](mailto:carniel@dgt.uniud.it)>
- Zakaria Maamar, Arab Emirates, <[Zakaria.Maamar@zu.ac.ae](mailto:Zakaria.Maamar@zu.ac.ae)>
- Soraya Kouadri Mostéfaoui, Switzerland, <[soraya.kouadrimostefaoui@unifr.ch](mailto:soraya.kouadrimostefaoui@unifr.ch)>

#### Editorial Board

Prof. Wolfgang Stucky, CEPIs Past President  
Prof. Nello Scarabottolo, CEPIs Vice President  
Fernando Piera Gómez and Rafael Fernández Calvo, ATI (Spain)  
François Louis Nicolet, SI (Switzerland)  
Roberto Carniel, ALSI – Tecnoteca (Italy)

#### UPENET Advisory Board

Franco Filippazzi (Mondo Digitale, Italy)  
Rafael Fernández Calvo (Novática, Spain)  
Panicos Masouras (Pliroforiki, Cyprus)  
Andrzej Marciniak (Pro Dialog, Poland)

**English Editors:** Mike Andersson, Richard Butchart, David Cash, Arthur Cook, Tracey Darch, Laura Davies, Nick Dunn, Rodney Fennemore, Hilary Green, Roger Harris, Michael Hird, Jim Holder, Alasdair MacLeod, Pat Moody, Adam David Moss, Phil Parkin, Brian Robson.

Cover page designed by Antonio Crespo Foix, © ATI 2004

Layout: Pascale Schürmann

Editorial correspondence: see "Editorial Team" above

Advertising correspondence: <[novatica@ati.es](mailto:novatica@ati.es)>

Upgrade Newslist available at

<<http://www.upgrade-cepis.org/pages/editinfo.html#newslist>>

#### Copyright

© Novática 2004 (for the monograph and the cover page)

© CEPIs 2004 (for the sections MOSAIC and UPENET)

All rights reserved. Abstracting is permitted with credit to the source. For copying, reprint, or republication permission, contact the Editorial Team.

The opinions expressed by the authors are their exclusive responsibility.

ISSN 1684-5285

Next issue (October 2004):

## “Software Process Technologies”

(The full schedule of UPGRADE is available at our website)

## 2 From the Editors' Desk Worth To Be Mentioned

The Editorial Team of UPGRADE communicates that (1) Pliroforiki, journal published by the Cyprus CEPIs society CCS, has joined UPENET, and (2) a Call for Contributions for our MOSAIC section has been released.

## A World of Agents

Guest Editors: Pedro Cuesta-Morales, Zahia Guessoum, Juan-Carlos González-Moreno, and Juan Pavón-Mestras

### Joint monograph with Novática\*

#### 3 Presentation

Agent Technologies at Work – *Pedro Cuesta-Morales, Zahia Guessoum, Juan-Carlos González-Moreno, and Juan Pavón-Mestras*

The guest editors introduce the monograph and present the papers included in it, that cover some of the most significant aspects of the Software Agents field.

#### 6 Challenges for Agent Technology Moving towards 2010 – *Michael Luck and Peter McBurney*

The authors describe trends and challenges that will need to be addressed over the next 10 years in order to progress in the field of Agents Technologies.

#### 11 Open Directions in Agent-Oriented Software Engineering – *Franco Zambonelli and Andrea Omicini*

This paper identifies key open research directions in the development process of Agent-Oriented Software Engineering (AOSE).

#### 15 Verification and Validation Techniques for Multi-Agent Systems – *Rubén Fuentes-Fernández, Jorge J. Gómez-Sanz, and Juan Pavón-Mestras*

This article gives an overview of, and presents some of the new methods used in, Multi-Agent Systems verification and validation.

#### 20 Applying the Tropos Methodology for Analysing Web Services Requirements and Reasoning about Qualities of Services – *Marco Aiello and Paolo Giorgini*

This article gives an overview of, and presents some of the new methods used in, Multi-Agent Systems verification and validation.

#### 27 Developing a Multi-Agent System Using MaSE and JADE – *Pedro Cuesta-Morales, Alma-María Gómez-Rodríguez, and Francisco J. Rodríguez-Martínez*

An example of how to develop a Multi-Agent System using currently available methods and tools is described in this paper.

#### 33 Engineering Multi-Agent Systems as Electronic Institutions – *Carles Sierra, Juan A. Rodríguez-Aguilar, Pablo Noriega Blanco-Vigil, Josep-Lluís Arcos-Rosell, and Marc Esteve-Vivancos*

This paper introduces an integrated development environment that supports the engineering of a particular type of distributed systems, namely multi-agent systems, 'as electronic institutions'.

#### 40 The Baghera Multiagent Learning Environment: An Educational Community of Artificial and Human Agents – *Sylvie Pesty and Carine Webber*

This paper introduces an integrated development environment that supports the engineering of a particular type of distributed systems, namely multi-agent systems, 'as electronic institutions'.

#### 45 Management of a Surveillance Camera System Using Software Agents – *Jesús García-Herrero, Javier Carbó-Rubiera, and José M. Molina-López*

This paper shows a Multi-Agent System that applies data mining techniques in a distributed surveillance camera system.

#### 51 An Agent-Based Architecture for Developing Internet-Based Applications – *Juan M. Corchado-Rodríguez, Rosalía Laza-Fidalgo, and Luis F. Castillo-Ossa*

The authors present a practical application of an-agent based architecture, which has been developed using the methodological framework defined by case-based reasoning systems.

## MOSAIC

#### 56 Integration and Reuse Based on Web Technology and Aspect Object Model – *Yauheni Veryha and Eckhard Kruse*

This paper presents an object-oriented integration framework that uses Web technology and Aspect Object Model to integrate applications based on different component models.

#### 61 News & Events: News from CEPIs, ECDL, and EUCIP; QofIS 2004 (Fifth International Workshop on Quality of Future Internet Services)

## UPENET (UPGRADE European NETwork)

#### 63 From Pro Dialog (Poland):

Large-Scale Software Development in a Multinational Software Corporation – *Jacek Czerniak and Wojciech Spiewak*

This paper shows a process of code management based on the software integrity inspection process performed in R&D departments of a large company.

#### 68 From Novática (Spain):

How will we computer professionals earn a living? (And why don't you teach for free?) – *Ricardo Galli-Granada*

In this article the author, a university lecturer in Computer Science, takes an unorthodox look at the computer profession and responds to sharp questions posed by an opponent of free software / open source.

\* This monograph will be also published in Spanish (full issue printed; summary, abstracts and some articles online) by Novática, journal of the Spanish CEPIs society ATI (Asociación de Técnicos de Informática) at <<http://www.ati.es/novatica/>>, and in Italian (online edition only, containing summary abstracts and some articles) by the Italian CEPIs society ALSI (Associazione nazionale Laureati in Scienze dell'informazione e Informatica) and the Italian IT portal Tecnoteca at <<http://www.tecnoteca.it/>>.

# Engineering Multi-Agent Systems as Electronic Institutions

Carles Sierra, Juan A. Rodríguez-Aguilar, Pablo Noriega Blanco-Vigil, Josep-Lluís Arcos-Rosell, and Marc Esteva-Vivancos

*As the complexity of real-world applications increases, particularly with the advent of the Internet, there is a need to incorporate organisational abstractions into computing systems to ease their design, development, and maintenance. Electronic institutions are at the heart of this approach. Electronic institutions provide a computational analogue of human organisations in which human and intelligent agents playing different organisational roles interact to accomplish individual and organisational goals. In this paper we introduce an integrated development environment that supports the engineering of a particular type of distributed system, namely multi-agent systems, as electronic institutions.*

**Keywords:** Auctions, Electronic Institutions, Multi-Agent Systems, Software Engineering.

## 1 Introduction

As the complexity of real-world applications increases, particularly with the advent of the Internet, there is a need to incorporate organisational abstractions into computing systems to ease their design, development, and maintenance. ‘*Electronic institutions*’ are at the heart of this approach. Electronic institutions provide a computational analogue of human organisations in which intelligent agents [8] playing different organisational roles interact to accomplish individual and organisational goals. In this scenario agent technology helps enterprises reduce their operational costs and speed-up the time to market by helping distributed business parties, represented by the agents, run smoother and in a more coordinated fashion. Electronic institutions appear as the glue that puts together self-interested business parties, coordinating, regulating, and auditing their collaborations.

But why electronic institutions? Research and development in agent-based systems has traditionally bargained for well-behaved agents immersed in reliable infrastructures in relatively simple domains. Such assumptions are not valid when considering *open systems* [6] whose components are unknown beforehand, can change over time, and can be self-interested human and software agents developed by different parties. Thus, open Multi-Agent Systems [8] (MAS) can be regarded as distributed systems where (possibly) large, varying populations of agents exhibiting different (possibly deviating, or even fraudulent) behaviours interact. Note that unlike distributed systems, cooperation among these agents is not fixed at design time but may emerge in real time.

Openness and self-interest without control may lead to unexpected, chaotic behaviours in MAS. The challenging issue is to avoid troubling execution dynamics, particularly in critical applications (e.g. open marketplaces, collaborative project management, virtual organisations, and supply network management). Therefore the design and development of open MAS appears as a highly complex task. It seems apparent, therefore,

*Carles Sierra* received the MSc in Computer Science (1986) and the PhD in Computer Science (1989) from the *Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya*, Barcelona, Spain. His current research interests include: formal methods, multi-agent systems, uncertainty, and robotics. He has participated in more than twenty research projects funded by the European Commission and the Spanish Government, and has published more than one hundred and fifty papers in specialised conferences and scientific journals. Recently, he has been particularly active in the area of electronic institutions. He has published several papers on modelling electronic auctions, and on automatic negotiation models among autonomous agents. He has actively served the community of agents as general chair of “Autonomous Agents 2000”, co-ordinator of the European Special Interest Group on Agent-mediated Electronic Commerce, and now as PC chair of AAMAS 2004. He has received several best paper awards in the area of agents and artificial intelligence. <sierra@iiia.csic.es>

*Pablo Noriega Blanco-Vigil* is a researcher at the Artificial Intelligence Research Institute of the Spanish Scientific Research Council (IIIA-CSIC), in Barcelona, Spain, where he holds a *Ramon y Cajal Chair*. He received his PhD in Computer Science (1997) from the *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona*, Spain, with a dissertation on “Agent Mediated Auctions, the Fishmarket Metaphor”, where he introduced the notion of the Electronic Institution. His current research interests include social aspects of multi-agent systems, economic applications of agent technologies, formal dialectics and agent-based negotiation. He is a member of the board of the International Foundation for Multi Agent Systems and has numerous publications in this area. He served as Chief Technology Officer for the Mexican National Institute of Statistics, Geography and Informatics (98–99) and head Policy-Maker in Information Technology for the Mexican Government (89–94). He has held different academic positions in Mexican Institutions including IBM Mexico Scientific Centre, Centre for Advanced Studies in the National Polytechnic Institute, and was President of the Mexican AI Society (90–92). He received his BSc. in Actuarial Science from *Universidad Anahuac* (Mexico), is a former President of the Mexican National College of Actuaries (90–92); and a former member of the Council of Presidents of North American Actuarial Organizations and of the Steering Committee of the International Association of Actuaries. <pablo@iiia.csic.es>

*Continued on next page*

for the need to introduce regulatory structures establishing what agents are permitted and forbidden to do. Notice that human societies have successfully dealt with regulation by deploying institutions. Thus, we advocate for the introduction of their electronic counterpart, namely electronic institutions (EIs from now on) [9], to shape the environment wherein agents interact by introducing sets of artificial constraints that articulate and thus help coordinate their interactions. Our actual experiences in the deployment of actual-world MAS as EIs ([12][2]) allow us to defend the validity of this approach. Note though, that as pointed in [4] and [11] we believe that engineers do need to be supported by well-founded tools. Hence the purpose of this paper is to introduce an integrated development environment for EIs that supports engineers in the principle design and development of MAS.

## 2 Electronic Institutions

According to [10], human interactions are guided by *institutions*, which represent the rules of the game in a society, including any (formal or informal) form of constraint that human beings devise to shape human interaction. Thus institutions are the framework within which human interaction takes place, defining what individuals are forbidden and permitted to do and under what conditions. Human organisations and individuals conform to the rules of institutions in order to receive legitimacy and support. Establishing a stable structure to human interactions appears as the *raison d'être* of institutions.

We defend the adoption of a mimetic strategy in order to cope with the complexity of engineering open MAS. Then, if we uphold that open MAS can be effectively designed and implemented as EI, what is an EI? In what follows we identify the core notions on which we found our current conception of electronic institution:

- **Agents and Roles:** Agents are the players in an electronic institution, interacting by the exchange of speech acts<sup>1</sup>, or elocutions, whereas roles are defined as standardised patterns of behaviour. Any agent within an electronic institution is required to adopt some role(s). Recently the concept of a role is becoming increasingly considered by researchers in the agents' community [3]. We differentiate between institutional and non-institutional (external) roles as well as institutional and non-institutional (external) agents. Whereas institutional roles are those enacted to achieve and guarantee institutional rules, non-institutional roles are those requested to conform to institutional rules.
- **Dialogical Framework:** Some aspects of an institution such as the objects of the world and the language employed for communicating are fixed, constituting the context or framework of interaction amongst agents. EIs establish the acceptable speech acts by defining the ontology<sup>2</sup> and the common language for communication and knowledge representation which are bundled in with what we call a dialogical frame-

1. In the sense proposed by Searle [13], who postulates that utterances are not simply propositions that are true or false, but attempts on the part of the speaker at achieving some goal or intention.

**Juan A. Rodriguez-Aguilar** is a researcher at the Artificial Intelligence Research Institute of the Spanish Scientific Research Council (IIIA-CSIC). He holds a BSc in Computer Science, a Masters degree in Artificial Intelligence and a doctorate in Artificial Intelligence. He worked as a researcher at the Centre for Coordination Science of the Sloan School of Management at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. In 2000 he joined the research department of iSOCO SA where he worked as a research manager for two years, leading the research and development of iSOCO's commercial procurement products. His research interests include multi-agent systems, electronic institutions, auctions and negotiation. Dr. Rodriguez-Aguilar has published over forty papers in several books, international journals and international conferences. He has been awarded the best Application prize in the "2003 AgentCities Worldwide Agent Technology Competition". <jar@iia.csic.es>

**Josep-Lluís Arcos-Rosell** is a Tenured Scientist of the Artificial Intelligence Institute of the Spanish Scientific Research Council (IIIA-CSIC). He received a MSc on Musical Creation and Sound Technology from Pompeu Fabra Institute, Barcelona (Spain), in 1996, and a PhD in Computer Science from the *Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya*, Barcelona (Spain), in 1997. He is the co-recipient of the Swets & Zeitlinger Distinguished Paper Award of the International Computer Music Association in 1997 and of the ICCBR'03 Best Paper Award of the International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning in 2003. He is presently working on Representation Languages for integrating Problem Solving, Case-Based Reasoning and Learning, on the integration of Software Agents with Learning Capabilities, and on Artificial Intelligence applications to Music. <arcos@iia.csic.es>

**Marc Esteva-Vivancos** received the BSc in Computer Science and the PhD in Artificial Intelligence (2003) from the *Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya*, Barcelona (Spain). His PhD, done at the Artificial Intelligence Research Institute of the Spanish Scientific Research Council (IIIA-CSIC), was focused on the design and development of agent mediated electronic institutions. Now he is a Postdoctoral researcher at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. His current research interests include: multi-agent systems, agent mediated electronic institutions and agent mediated electronic commerce. He has published over fifteen papers in scientific journals and specialised conferences. He received the best prototype paper award at AAMAS 2002 and the second prize in the second edition of the award on New Applications for Internet given by the Telefonica Chair of the *Universidad Politècnica de Madrid*, Spain. <esteva@uiuc.edu>

work. By sharing a dialogical framework, we enable heterogeneous agents to exchange knowledge with other agents.

- **Scene:** Interactions between agents are articulated through agent group meetings, which we call *scenes*, with a well-defined communication protocol. We consider the protocol of a scene to be the specification of the possible dialogues the participating agents may have. Be aware, however, that the communication protocol defining the possible interac-

2. Here we adhere to the definition in [1]: "*An ontology for a body of knowledge concerning a particular task or domain describes a taxonomy of concepts for that task or domain that define the semantic interpretation of the knowledge*".




Figure 1: Agent Mediation via Electronic Institutions.

tions within a scene is role-based instead of agent-based. In other words, a scene defines a role-based framework of interaction for agents.

- **Performative Structure:** Scenes can be connected, composing a workflow, in a so-called performative structure. The specification of a performative structure contains a description of how agents can legally move from scene to scene by defining both the pre-conditions to join and leave scenes. Satisfying such conditions will fundamentally depend on the roles allowed to be played by each agent and its acquired commitments<sup>3</sup> through former dialogues.
- **Normative Rules:** Agent actions in the context of the institution have consequences, usually in the shape of compromises which impose obligations or restrictions on dialogic actions of the agents in the scenes where they are acting or will be acting in the future. The purpose of normative rules is to modify the behaviour of agents by imposing obligations or prohibitions. Institutional agents are committed to undertake the required actions so as to ensure that non-institutional agents abide by institutional rules.

The notions shown in Figure 1 picture the regulatory structure of an EI as a ‘workflow’ (performative structure) of multi-agent protocols (scenes) along with a collection of (normative) rules that can be triggered off by agents’ actions (speech acts). At run-time agents enter and leave an EI, join and leave scenes that are dynamically created and destroyed, moving among scenes, and act by performing speech acts.

The main goal of an EI is to enforce the specified norms to participating agents at run-time. With this aim all participating agents have their interactions mediated by the EI as shown in Figure 1. Unlike traditional approaches that allow agents to openly interact with their peers via a communication layer, our

computational realisation of an EI must be regarded as a *social middleware* that sits between the external, participating agents, and the chosen communication layer validating (filtering in) or rejecting (filtering out) their actions<sup>4</sup>.

### 3 An IDE for Electronic Institutions

IDE-eli, the Integrated Development Environment for Electronic Institutions, is a set of tools aimed at supporting the engineering of MAS as electronic institutions. Software agents appear as the key enabling technology behind the electronic institution vision. Thus, electronic institutions encapsulate the coordination mechanisms that mediate the interactions amongst software agents representing different parties as depicted in figure 1. IDE-eli allows for engineering both electronic institutions and their participating software agents. Notably, IDE-eli moves away from machine-oriented views of programming toward organisational-inspired concepts that more closely reflect the way in which we understand distributed applications such as MAS. It supports a top-down engineering approach: firstly the organisation, and then secondly the individuals. IDE-eli is composed of:

- **ISLANDER:** A graphical tool that supports the specification of the rules and protocols in an electronic institution.
- **AMELI:** A software platform to run electronic institutions; electronic institutions specified with ISLANDER are run by AMELI.
- **aBUILDER:** An agent development tool.
- **SIMDEI:** A simulation tool to animate and analyse ISLANDER specifications prior to the deployment stage.

Figure 2 depicts the role of the IDE-eli tools in an electronic institution’s development cycle. The cycle is regarded as an iterative, refining process, and this is fully supported by the IDE- eli tools. In what follows we detail the different steps of

3. We understand commitments as obligations to do something or to bring about some state of affairs.

4. In Figure 1, JADE [7] is employed as the communication layer.




Figure 2: Electronic Institution Development Cycle.

such development cycle along with the roles played by the IDE-eli tools.

- **Design:** Electronic institutions can be graphically specified with the aid of ISLANDER [3]<sup>5</sup>. It allows for the definition of a common ontology, all of the interactions that agents may have, and the consequences of such interactions. Figure 3 shows the graphical user interface of ISLANDER. The result is a precise description of the kinds and order of messages that the agents in the MAS can exchange, along with the collection of norms that regulate their actions. ISLANDER eases the job of EI designers by supporting graphical specifications based on the formalisation of EIs offered in [4]. Note that the specification of an EI focuses on macro-level (societal) aspects, instead of on micro-level (internal) aspects of the agents.
- **Verification:** Once specified, an institution should be verified before opening it to external, participating agents. This step is twofold. Whilst the first verification stage focuses on *static*, structural properties of a specification, the second stage is concerned with the expected, *dynamic* behaviour of the EI.

The *static* verification of EIs amounts to checking the structural correctness of the specifications. For instance, to check that protocols are correctly specified. This process is fully supported by the ISLANDER editor.

On the other hand, the *dynamic* verification of EIs is carried out via simulation. The process starts out with the definition of populations of agents with varying features capable of acting in the specified institution. In order to facilitate this task we have developed an *agent builder* capable of generating, from a specification, an agent skeleton depending on the roles and interactions in which the agent may participate. In

order to completely define an agent, the generated skeleton must be filled out by agent designers with some decision making mechanisms. Once agents have been implemented, simulations of the EI can be run using the SIMDEI simulation tool developed over REPAST [14]. SIMDEI supports simulations of EIs with varying populations of agents to conduct *what-if* analysis. The institution designer is in charge of analysing the results of the simulations and returning to the design stage if they differ from the expected ones.

- **Development:** Once the institution specification is validated it can be deployed and opened for agent participation. Thus it is time for agent programmers to implement their participating agents. Notice that we do not impose restrictions on the type of agents that can participate in an EI. Agent designers can choose their own language and architecture. Nonetheless, we believe that it is important to support this intricate development process via the aBUILDER tool. aBUILDER facilitates the development of agents in JAVA in a pre-defined architecture. In the future it is planned to support further languages and architectures.
- **Deployment:** An electronic institution defines a normative environment that shapes agents' interactions. Since agents may be heterogeneous and self-interested we can not expect that they behave according to the institutional rules. Therefore any EI is executed via AMELI [5], an infrastructure that mediates and facilitates agents' interactions while enforcing the institutional rules. The implemented infrastructure is *general purpose*, as it can interpret any ISLANDER specification. Therefore it must be regarded as *domain independent*, and it can be used in the deployment of any specified institution without any extra coding. AMELI keeps the execution state and uses it, along with the EI specification, to validate the actions that agents attempt. Ergo the execution of an EI starts out by running AMELI after loading the specification. Thereafter external agents may enter the institution to interact with other agents through AMELI. An EI execution can be monitored thanks to monitoring tool that depicts all the events occurring at run-time, as shown in Figure 4.

In the next section we sketch an actual-world EI whose development has been supported by IDE-eli.

#### 4 Case Study: Agents in Auction Markets

In the Mediterranean regions fresh fish has traditionally been sold through downward bidding auctions operating in auction houses close to harbours. Fish is grouped into sets of boxes, called *lots*, and put at auction following the Dutch protocol: price is progressively and quickly lowered – 4 quotes per second – until a buyer submits a bid or the price descent reaches the withdraw price. The buyer submitting the bid can decide to buy the complete lot or just some boxes. In the later case, the remaining boxes are put back into auction at the next round. When the last box is sold, the auction is over.

Some fish markets are adapting their selling methods to new technologies and most auctions are nowadays automated by some *auction system*. The presence of human buyers at the auction houses, however, is still necessary. This imposes two

5. Best prototype paper award at the First Joint International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems AAMAS 2002.




Figure 3: Islander GUI.

main barriers. First, it restricts the potential buyers to those present in the auction house. Second, it makes the participation in several auctions simultaneously costly, as companies have to send a representative to each one. The elimination of such limitations would be very profitable for both the buyers and sellers. Increasing the number of buyers makes the market more competitive, and thus increases the buying price to the benefit of sellers. It also permits the participation of buyers without intermediaries saving costs to the buyers.

Agent technologies may be used to eliminate these limitations. The Multi-Agent System for Fish Trading (MASFIT) [2]<sup>6</sup> allows buyers to remotely and simultaneously participate in several wholesale fish auctions with the help of software agents, whilst still maintaining the traditional auctions. The participation of buyer agents in auctions is mediated by an EI. The MASFIT's institution controls buyers' access to the auctions, provides them with information, and collects their bids during the auctions. With this aim, the auction system running at the auction houses has been connected to the developed institution. MASFIT interconnects multiple auction houses, and therefore it gives structure to a federation of auction houses. Importantly, the MASFIT system guarantees that the buyer agents have access to the same information, and have the same bidding opportunities as human buyers physically present at

the auction house. Furthermore, the system does not alter the current operation of the auction houses themselves.

As reported in [2], the IDE-eli tools played a key role in the design and development of the MASFIT system. The MASFIT electronic institution was specified using ISLANDER, and the agents in the institution have their interactions mediated by AMELI. It facilitates agent participation and communication, within the institution, while enforcing the institutional rules encoded in the specification. It also loads institution specifications as generated by ISLANDER. AMELI permits, among many others features, the distributed execution of agents. This is important as agents must be running at different places.

Finally, note that the market scenario created by MASFIT makes the participation in simultaneous auctions a complex decision-making task. Agents have to manage huge amounts of information – even uncertain information – and their reasoning and processing time must be short enough to react to changes. To support this complex design, MASFIT also includes tools to create, customise, manage and train software buying agents.

## 5 Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced an integrated development environment for the engineering of multiagent systems as electronic institutions. Major benefits derive from employing the IDE-eli tools. Firstly, they help shorten the development cycle. The engineering of an electronic institution requires a low-cost implementation since only its participating agents

6. <<http://www.masfit.net>>.




Figure 4: Electronic Institution Monitoring.

must be programmed. The inherent flexibility of ISLANDER in the design of coordination mechanisms favours an easy, ready maintenance: once changes are accommodated in a new specification, this is ready to be run by AMELI, and agents are ready to “plug and play”. Secondly, the IDE-eli simulation tools support what-if analysis of electronic institutions’ designs prior to their deployment, facilitating the location of unexpected behaviours that may jeopardise critical applications. Furthermore, the development of both external (non-institutional) and internal (institutional) agents for the specified institutions is supported by aBUILDER.

IDE-eli has proven to be highly valuable in the development of e-commerce applications such as the MASFIT system presented in Section 4. However, a wider range of application areas may be tackled with the aid of the IDE-eli tools. In general terms, the electronic institutions approach is deemed as appropriate in complex domains where multiple partners are involved, and a high degree of coordination and collaboration is required. Thus, electronic institutions to support workflow management, the monitoring and management of shop-floor automation, or supply network management issues look promising in the near future.

For more information and software downloads, the interested reader should refer to <http://e-institutions.iiia.csic.es>.

#### Acknowledgements

Marc Esteva-Vivancos holds the Fulbright/MECD postdoctoral scholarship FU2003-0569. This paper has been partially supported by project Web-i(2) (TIC-2003-08763-C02-01). The authors would like

to thank Teresa Solchaga’s help in editing as well as the IIIA Technological Development Unit’s programmers for their valuable contribution to the development of the IDE-eli.

#### References

- [1] L.K. Alberts. YMIR: An Ontology for Engineering Design. PhD thesis, University of Twente, 1993.
- [2] G. Cuní, M. Esteva, P. Garcia, E. Puertas, C. Sierra, and T. Solchaga. Masfit: Multi-agent systems for fish trading. In 16th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2004)}, Valencia, Spain, August 2004.
- [3] M.Esteva, D.de la Cruz, and C.Sierra. Islander: an electronic institutions editor. In Proceedings of the First International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems (AAMAS 2002)}, pages 1045–1052, Bologna, Italy, July 15–19 2002.
- [4] M. Esteva. Electronic Institutions: from specification to development. IIIA PhD Monography. Vol. 19, 2003.
- [5] M. Esteva, J. A. Rodríguez-Aguilar, B. Rosell, and J.L. Arcos. Ameli: An agent-based middleware for electronic institutions. In Third International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems (AAMAS’04)}, New York, USA, July 19–23 2004.
- [6] C.Hewitt. Offices are open systems. ACM Transactions of Office Automation Systems}, 4(3):271–287, 1986.
- [7] Java Agent Development Framework. <http://sharon.cse.it/projects/jade>.

- [8] N. R. Jennings, K. Sycara, and M. Wooldridge. A roadmap of agent research and development. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems*, 1:275–306, 1998.
- [9] P. Noriega. Agent-Mediated Auctions: The Fishmarket Metaphor. IIIA Phd Monography. Vol. 8, 1997.
- [10] D. North. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economics Performance*. Cambridge U. P., 1990.
- [11] J. A. Rodríguez-Aguilar. On the Design and Construction of Agent-mediated Electronic Institutions. IIIA Phd Monography. Vol. 14, 2001.
- [12] J. A. Rodríguez-Aguilar, Pablo Noriega, Carles Sierra, and Julian Padget. Fm96.5 a java-based electronic auction house. In *Second International Conference on The Practical Application of Intelligent Agents and Multi-Agent Technology (PAAM'97)*, pp. 207–224, 1997.
- [13] J. R. Searle. *Speech acts*. Cambridge U.P., 1969.
- [14] University of Chicago's Social Science Research Computing's RePast. <<http://repast.sourceforge.net>>.
- [15] F. Zambonelli, N. Jennings, and M. Wooldridge. Developing multiagent systems: The gaia methodology. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 12(3):317–370, 2003.